



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/014,128

12/07/2001

John Carrino

INVIT1290-2

1163

7590

06/14/2005

Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich LLP
Suite 1100
4365 Executive Drive
San Diego, CA 92121-2133

EXAMINER

CALAMITA, HEATHER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1637

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/014,128 | Applicant(s) CARRINO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D. | Art Unit 1637 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/02, 4/02, 11/02, 7/9/03, 7/28/03</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application, Amendments, and/or Claims

1. Claims 1-56 are currently pending and under examination. Any objections and rejections not reiterated below are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5,8-10,12-14,25,26,28-31,37-41, 44,45, 49-54 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shuman et al. (USPN 5,766,891 June 16, 1998), as evidenced by (Shuman, Journal of Biological Chemistry, 1994).

Shuman et al. teach a method of generating a double stranded recombinant nucleic acid comprising contacting a first ds nucleotide derived from subpopulation and a second ds nucleotide sequence and at least one topoisomerase such that topoisomerase covalently link both strands of first sequence to second sequence generating a ds recombinant molecule (see whole doc. esp. abstract & col. 6 line 21). Shuman et al. teach this invitro (see col. 9 line 47). The topoisomerase used by Shuman et al. covalently links both strands as evidenced by Shuman, 1994. Shuman states, "The vaccinia topoisomerase was capable of sticky-end ligation of duplex DNAs containing only 2 bases of potential complementarity...(see p. 32679 col. 1 line 1 first paragraph under RESULTS)." Shuman et al. teach PCR amplifying a donor duplex DNA molecule with oligonucleotide primers containing sequence specific topoisomerase cleavage site, incubating the donor duplex DNA with a sequence specific topoisomerase, resulting in the formation of a

Art Unit: 1637

sequence specific topoisomerase donor duplex DNA incubating with plasmid vector with 5 overhand compatible with donor and incubating and transforming vector into host cell (see col. 6 line 60- col. 7 line 6). They teach that the transforming host cell with DNA sequence to encoding a polypeptide activity (see abstract). They teach using vaccinia DNA topoisomerase which is type 1 topoisomerase (see col. 1 line 25-26). They teach regulatory elements including promoter and enhancer to bind RNA polymerase. They lac promoter, start codon and termination codon (see col. 7 line 27-40). They also teach poly histidine tags (see col. 5 line 34). They teach using affinity labels such as biotin introduced into the DNA product to purify the product (see col. 6 line 21-26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (9 or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 32-34,36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shuman (USPN 5,766,891 June 16, 1998).

Art Unit: 1637

The teachings of Shuman et al. are described previously.

Shuman et al. do not teach using a third ds sequence.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to further bind a third sequence in order to build a desired construct. It was well known in the art to build long constructs from smaller fragments. It would have been prima facie obvious to further construct longer ds sequences by covalently bonding with Shuman's topoisomerase to build longer sequences for insertion into vectors.

4. Claims 6,7, 11, 15-24,27,35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shuman et al. (USPN 5,766,891 June 16, 1998) in view of Yarovinsky et al. (US2002/0068290 June 6, 2002).

The teachings of Shuman et al. are described previously.

Shuman et al. do not teach poxvirus vaccinia, topoisomerase charged adapters.

Yarovinsky et al. teach topoisomerase activated oligonucleotide adapters for covalently bonding sequences (see whole doc. esp. abstract & paragraph 0010). They teach poxvirus (paragraph 0062). They teach joining various targets particularly using Shuman et al's technique (see paragraph 004).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply Yarovinsky's topoisomerase activated oligonucleotides to Shuman's method of covalent linkage in order to bind the amplified sequences into vectors. Yarovinsky et al. state that topoisomerase activated oligonucleotides provide for rapid joining of target to adaptor sequences (see paragraph 005). It would have been prima facie obvious to apply Yarovinsky's adaptors to Shuman's method in order to quickly join amplified sequences into vectors.

Art Unit: 1637

5. Claims 42 & 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shuman et al. (USPN 5,766,891 June 16, 1998) in view of Seed et al. (USPN 5,830,731 Nov. 3, 1998).

The teachings of Shuman et al. are described previously.

Shuman et al. do not teach expression of -1-7 suppressor.

Seed et al. teach 7-7 suppressor gene in expression vector (see col. 6 line 24).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply Shuman's method of construction to expression Seed's T7 suppressor gene in order to express and produce T7 suppressor. Seed et al. state that the T7 suppressor may be used in diagnostic and therapeutic purposes (see abstract). It would have been prima facie obvious to use Shuman's cloning procedure in order to quickly express and produce Seed's -1-7 suppressor gene.

6. Claims 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shuman et al. (USPN 5,766,891 June 16, 1998) in view of Trono et al. (USPN 5,605,802 Feb. 25, 1997).

The teachings of Shuman et al. are described previously.

Shuman et al. do not teach histidine tag attached to DNA sequences:

Trono et al. teach histidine tags in expression vectors (see col. 1 2 line 17).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply Trono's teaching of histidine tags to Shuman's expression system in order to purify the expressed protein. It was well known and commonly practiced in the art to fuse histidine tags to genes in vectors to aid in affinity purification. It would have been prima facie obvious to apply Trono's histidine tags to the expressed proteins in Shuman's system in order to quickly purify the protein to isolation.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed April 21, 2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Shuman et al. do not anticipate the instant claims as Shuman do not teach the ligation by topoisomerase invitro. Shuman do teach the ligation occurs invitro (see col. 9 line 47) and therefore Shuman et al. anticipate the instant claims and the 102 (b) rejections are maintained. Additionally as discussed in the previous action, the examiner points out that the claimed topoisomerase remains indistinguishable from the topoisomerase disclosed by Shuman et al. (USPN 5,766,891). Shuman et al. discloses a Type I topoisomerase which is used to join donor duplex DNA with acceptor DNA (see col. 6 lines 4-10). Shuman (Journal of Biological Chemistry, 1994) explains the type I DNA topoisomerase used covalently religate (by sticky end ligation) both strands of DNA when both strands are already cleaved. Therefore the topoisomerase disclosed by Shuman et al. (USPN 5,766,891) meets the limitation of the claim.

9. Applicant argues, in all of the 103(a) rejections, the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon the improper application of Shuman's teachings. Applicant's arguments with respect to these rejections have been considered but are moot in view of the aforementioned discussion of Shuman's teachings.

Summary

8. No claims were allowed.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing

Art Unit: 1637

date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather G. Calamita whose telephone number is 571.272.2876 and whose e-mail address is heather.calamita@uspto.gov. However, the office cannot guarantee security through the e-mail system nor should official papers be transmitted through this route. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at 571.272.0782.

Papers related to this application may be faxed to Group 1637 via the PTO Fax Center using the fax number 571.273.8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to 571.272.0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

hgc


JEFFREY FREDMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

6/10/05